

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

| APPLICATION NO.         | FII  | LING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/649,802              | 0    | 8/28/2003       | Won Hee Lee          | P24098                | P24098 5606     |  |
| 7055                    | 7590 | 07/30/2004      |                      | EXAM                  | EXAMINER        |  |
|                         |      | ERNSTEIN, P.L.C | JIANG, CHEN WEN      |                       |                 |  |
| 1950 ROLAN<br>RESTON, V |      |                 | ,                    | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                 |  |
| •                       |      |                 |                      | 3744                  |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | 100          |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/649,802  | LEE ET AL.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | Chen-Wen Jiang  | 3744  |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | iress        |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely<br>the mailing date of this co<br>D (35 U.S.C.§ 133). | mmunication. |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au  | <u>igust 2003</u> .   |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   | •            |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11,12,19,21-23 and 30-39</u> is/are r 7) □ Claim(s) <u>8-10,13-18,20,24-29 and 40-48</u> is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration. ejected. objected to.  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CF   | R 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No<br>ed in this National S   | Stage        |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  | ate   | -152)        |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 30 recites the limitation "the first and second evaporators" in lines 1-2 of the claim 30. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. The following rejections are based on the best understanding of the claimed limitations.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,6,7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maeda (U.S. Patent Number 6,199,394).

In regard to claims 1,6 and 7, Maeda discloses an air conditioning system. Referring to Fig. 5, the system comprises a case 1, a compressor 230, refrigerant flow path 275,127,128, first

Art Unit: 3744

and second heat exchangers 220A,220B, an expansion valve 260B, fans 102,140, passages 107,108,124,125 and a regenerative heat exchanger 153.

In regard to claim 12, the flow substantially perpendicular to each other as shown in Fig. 5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2,3,4,5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda (U.S. Patent Number 6,199,394) in view of Okamoto et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,377,400) or Yano et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,582,129).

Maeda discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Okamoto et al. and Yano et al. disclose the heat exchanger (Fig.2 of '400 and Fig.1 of '129) in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of exchange heat. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Maeda with a heat exchanger in view of Okamoto et al. or Yano et al. so as to exchange heat.

9. Claims 19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda (U.S. Patent Number 6,199,394) in view of Karman (U.S. Patent Number 5,664,430) or Ramakrishnan et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,732,565).

Art Unit: 3744

Maeda discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Karman and Ramakrishnan et al. disclose groove/channel under the heat exchanger (Figs. 1 and 2 of '430 and Figs. 1 and 11 of '565) in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of condensation draining. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Maeda with a draining device in view of Karman or Ramakrishnan et al. so as to drain the condensate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrally the drain channel and base of the air conditioner apparatus of Maeda, since it has been held to be within the general skill of worker in the art to make plural parts unitary as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965); In re Lockart, 90 USPQ 214 (CCPA 1951).

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7,11,12,19 and 21-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,2,4,5 and 6 of copending Application No. 10/695,904 in view of Okamoto et al. or Yano et al. and Karman or

Art Unit: 3744

Ramakrishnan et al. Both applications claim regenerative heat exchanger, passages, evaporator, condenser and fans.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 8-10,13-18,20,24-29 and 40-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 30-39 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner